

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 14, 19, 28 and 34 remain in the application. The actions taken are in the interest of expediting prosecution and with no intention of surrendering any range of equivalents to which Applicants would otherwise be entitled in view of the prior art. Moreover, the amendment or cancellation of claims herein is without prejudice to pursuing claims of different scope by way of continuing Application. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

**Claim Objections**

Claims 33 and 35 are objected to because of informalities. Claims 33 and 35 have been cancelled, rendering this rejection moot.

**U.S.C. § 103**

Claims 4, 7, 9-13, 26-27, 29-30, 32-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiga (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0083282) in view of Matsuzaki et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0162870). Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiga in view of Matsuzaki in further view of Hind (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0136358). Claims 17-18 are rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pepper (U.S. Patent No. 5,930,700) in view of Matsuzaki.

Claims 4, 7, 9-13, 26-27, 29-30, 32-33 and 35 have been cancelled, rendering these rejections moot.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 14, 19, 28 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These claims have been rewritten as such and are believed to be allowable.

Summary

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Accordingly, this application is believed to be in proper form for allowance and an early notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Please charge any fees associated herewith, including extension of time fees, to 502117. Motorola, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

DATE: 10/17/2006  
 SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:  
 Motorola, Inc.  
 Law Department  
 1303 East Algonquin Road  
 IL01/3rd  
 Schaumburg, Illinois 60196  
 Customer Number: 23330

By: /Kevin D. Wills/  
 Kevin D. Wills  
 Attorney of Record  
 Reg. No.: 43,993  
 Telephone: 480-732-5364  
 Fax No.: 480-732-2402  
 Email: kevin.wills@motorola.com